UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THOMAS M. CURTIS

Case No. 13-cv-3007-DLC

Plaintiff,

-against-

CENLAR, FSB, d/b/a CENTRAL LOAN : ADMINISTRATION & REPORTING, CENLAR : AGENCY, INC., FEDERAL HOME LOAN : MORTGAGE CORPORATION, AMERICAN : SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY, and : ASSURANT, INC. :

Defendants.

NOTICE OF MOTION

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED (0-14-2013)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, upon the Declaration of Thomas M. Curtis dated June 13, 2013, the exhibits annexed thereto, the accompanying memorandum of law, and all prior pleadings and proceedings had herein, plaintiff will move this Court, Hon. Denise L. Cote, in Courtroom 15B of the United States Courthouse located at 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007, for an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$1447 remanding the instant proceeding to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York on the ground that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6.1(b), any opposing affidavits and memoranda of law shall be served within fourteen days after service of this motion, and any reply affidavits and memoranda of law shall be served within seven days after service of the opposition.

Dated: New York, New York June 13, 2013

Thomas M. Curtis
Plaintiff, Pro Se

1385 York Avenue, Suite 32-B

New York, NY 10021 Tel: (212) 734-4915

To:

Sullivan & Worcester LLP 1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019 Tel: (212) 660-3000

Attorneys for Defendant American Security Insurance Company

Jorden Burt LLP 175 Powder Forest Drive, Suite 301 Simsbury, CT 06090 Tel: (860) 392-5000 Attorneys for Defendant Assurant, Inc.

Stevens & Lee
485 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (646) 254-6385
Attorneys for Defendants Cenlar, FSB d/b/a
Central Loan Administration & Reporting;
Cenlar Agency, Inc.; and Federal Home
Loan Mortgage Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THOMAS M. CURTIS

Case No. 13-cv-3007-DLC

Plaintiff,

-against-

CENLAR, FSB, d/b/a CENTRAL LOAN ADMINISTRATION & REPORTING, CENLAR: AGENCY, INC., FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION, AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY, and ASSURANT, INC.

DECLARATION OF THOMAS M. CURTIS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR REMAND

Defendants.

- I, Thomas M. Curtis, declare as follows:
- 1. I am the plaintiff in the within action and make this declaration in support of my motion for an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1447 remanding the instant proceeding to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York on the ground that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts and statements set forth herein.
- The Notice of Removal by defendant American Security Insurance Company ("American Security") dated May 3, 2013, asserts that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction because defendant Assurant, Inc. ("Assurant") is fraudulently or improperly joined and that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of \$75,000.
- 4. As set forth in detail below, the conclusions set forth in the Notice of Removal by defendant American Security are incorrect.

- 5. The following documents are annexed hereto in support of this motion:
- Exhibit 1 Verified Complaint dated 4/4/13 with Exhibits A & B.
- Exhibit 2 New York State Press Release dated 3/21/13 entitled "CUOMO ADMINISTRATION SETTLES WITH COUNTRY'S LARGEST FORCE-PLACED INSURER, LEADING NATIONWIDE REFORM EFFORT AND SAVING HOMEOWNERS, TAXPAYERS, AND INVESTORS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS"
- Exhibit 3 Consent Order In Re American Security Insurance Company, American Bankers Insurance Company of Florida, and Assurant, Inc.
- Exhibit 4 American Security Residential Property Policy Declarations page addressed to Patti A. Van Dyke for force placed insurance in favor of America's Servicing Company with Assurant Solutions Privacy Policy which refers the named insured to assurant.com.
- Exhibit 5 Notice and Claim Form from Assurant on behalf of American Security pursuant to the "settlement between the New York State Department of Financial Services and American Security Insurance Company...."
- Exhibit 6 Assurant and American Security Claim statement referring to Assurant.com and Assurant.com web pages for Assurant Products & Services.

## ASSURANT IS CONNECTED TO THE CONTROVERSY

- 6. As shown in plaintiff's memorandum of law, the burden of establishing federal jurisdiction falls on the party invoking removal.
- 7. Plaintiff named Assurant as a defendant because the New York State Department of Financial Services deemed it to be responsible for the acts of American Security in New York (Exhibits 2 and 3).
  - 8. The complaint (Exhibit 1) states at ¶10 as follows:
    Upon information and belief, Assurant is registered to do

business in the State of New York and is the instrumentality through which American Security is authorized to do business in the State of New York.

- 9. Plaintiff represents Patti A. Van Dyke in various foreclosure matters and thereby received Exhibit 4 consisting of the American Security Residential Property Policy Declarations page with Assurant Solutions Privacy Policy which refers the named insured to assurant.com.
- 10. The Notice and Claim Form from Assurant on behalf of American Security pursuant to the "settlement between the New York State Department of Financial Services and American Security Insurance Company..." (Exhibit 5) and the Assurant and American Security Claim statement referring to Assurant.com and Assurant.com web pages for Assurant Products & Services (Exhibit 6) further demonstrate that Assurant administers substantive aspects of American Security's business.
- 11. The fourth cause of action against all defendants is alleged as against all defendants. Respectfully, it is not necessary to name each defendant under such circumstances. In addition, footnote 1 at Paragraph 97 references the Press Release and Settlement Agreement which are annexed hereto as Exhibits 2 and 3.
- 12. Defendant American Security's Notice of Removal was supported by the self-serving declarations of Jessica Olich and Ronald K. Wilson in order to make the case that the inclusion of Assurant as a defendant is either fraudulent or improper. \*

- 13. Respectfully, if the State of New York deems Assurant a necessary party to the settlement with American Security (Exhibit 3), then joinder in the instant case is not fraudulent or improper.
- 14. Finally, as shown by Exhibits 4 and 5, Assurant uses its web site as a reference for settlements on behalf of American Security and for providing privacy policy information (Exhibit 4 last page).

## THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY IS UNDER \$75,000.00

- 15. As shown in plaintiff's memorandum of law submitted herewith, the removing defendant bears the burden of demonstrating that the amount in controversy meets the jurisdictional threshold.
- 16. The Notice of Removal misstates the amount in controversy by aggregating all of the causes of action.
- 17. Under New York CPLR 3014, a complaint may contain alternative claims. (Cohen v. Lionel Corp, 21 NY2d 559) The third cause of action against Cenlar and Freddie Mac refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 83 and demands \$20,000. The fourth cause of action against all defendants refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 83 and demands \$50,000. The two demands are not cumulative as plaintiff cannot collect against Cenlar and Freddie Mac twice based on the same allegations.
- 18. Therefore, the maximum amount demanded against all defendants on those two causes of action is the sum of \$50,000 and not \$70,000 as asserted by the Notice of Removal (at \$943).
  - 19. The first and second causes of action seek a declaratory

## 

judgement as to the rights and obligations of the parties and an injunction to enforce a favorable declaratory judgment. The third and fourth causes of action are alternatives to the declaratory judgment and injunctive relief claimed in the first two causes of action or would be merged in any declaratory judgment.

- 20. Based on the foregoing, the assertion by defendant American Security that all the causes of action are cumulative is nothing more than a bootstrap argument in support of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 21. I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed June 13, 2013

Thomas M. Curtis

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Thermas In Curias	
(List the name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petitioner(s).)	13 Civ. 3007 (DLS()
- against -	AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
CENTAN PSB d/B/A CENTRALLON	
HOMINISTRATION + REPORTING, CLEN	TAN
GENCY NC, FEDERAL HOME LON Whist the name(s) of the defendant(s)/respondent(s).)  MARANA 4 ASSULATION (NC	MONTEAGE 2174 INSUNANCE  TOS declare under penalty of perjury that I
I, (print your name) I homas M. Cun	declare under penalty of perjury that I
served a copy of the attached (list the names of the	e documents you served): NOTTCE DEMOTION
MIEMORANDUM OFLAW	
following persons (list the names and addresses of BULLIVAWY WORCESTER CLP)  BURT LLP), + BRADLEY MI	the people you served): ANDREW SOLOMON, ROBERT HELLAND ESQ(NOKDEN TCHELLESTEVENS + LEE)
on (date you served the document(s)) $\forall \mathcal{ONCI}$	
on (date you served the document(s)) 4000   1000	Signature 1385 YONG AVE ANT 32B
	Address Yonywy 10021 City, State
	Zip 10021
	Telephone Number 2/27344915
	E-Mail Address THOMASMURITS DIJAHOO LOM